

Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	Clarence Valley LEP 2011 - Rezone 165 -169 Cambridge Street, South Grafton from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential The planning proposal seeks to amend the Clarence Valley LEP 2011 by rezoning land at 165-169 Cambridge Street, South Grafton from BI Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. This will enable the redevelopment of the site, a former plant nursery, into residential dwellings.			
Proposal Summary :				
PP Number :	PP_2015_CLARE_007_00	Dop File No :	15/11820	
posal Details		1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	1 21-41-62	
Date Planning Proposal Received :	31-Jul-2015	LGA covered :	Clarence Valley	
Region :	Northern	RPA :	Clarence Valley Council	
State Electorate :	CLARENCE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Suburb :	5-169 Cambridge Street City :	South Grafton	Postcode : 2460	
	ts 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP 733046		÷.	
OOP Planning Offi	cer Contact Details			
Contact Name :	Jon Stone			
Contact Number :	0267019688			
Contact Email :	jon.stone@planning.nsw.gov.au			
RPA Contact Deta	ils			
Contact Name :	Terry Dwyer			
Contact Number :	0266430243			
Contact Email :	terry.dwyer@clarence.nsw.gov.a			
oP Project Mana	ger Contact Details			
Contact Name :				
Contact Number :				
Contact Email :				

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Mid North Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.37	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	8
Gross Floor Area ;	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	communications and meeting Region's knowledge. The Nort this proposal, nor has the Nor	nd Environment's Code of Prac s with lobbyists has been comp thern Region has not met with a thern Region been advised of a obyists concerning the proposa	blied with to the best of the any lobbyists in relation to any meeting between other
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	redevelopment for residential redevelop the existing nurser dwellings (duplexes) on each	to amend the zoning of the subj purposes. Council has indicate y building on the site into 4 sep of the other lots (8 dwellings or is proposed to rectify a current	ed the applicants wish to arate units and build two n the three lots). A adjustment
	Parts of the land is subject to by the Probably Maximum Flo	flooding in the 1:100 year even od event.	t and all of the site is affected
	contaminated due to the previ	the site to remediate areas that ious use of the land as a plant r diation to be completed before	nursery. Council has
Adequacy Assessmer	nt		and the second second second second
Statement of the ob			
Is a statement of the ob	pjectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	_	ed outcomes of the Planning Preent to the Clarence Valley LEP 2	oposal are adequately expressed

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The Planning Proposal provides a clear explanation of the intended provisions to achieve the objectives and intended outcomes.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

- 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
- 3.1 Residential Zones

3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport

- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 33—Hazardous and Offensive Development SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

See the assessment section of this report.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal contains maps which adequately show the site, and the proposed zoning map changes for the LEP amendment.

If supported, maps which comply with the Standard Technical Requirements for LEP Maps will be required prior to the LEP amendment being finalised.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : The planning proposal describes the proposed rezoning as a low impact proposal and recommends that a community consultation period of 14 days is appropriate.

This is considered satisfactory.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Does the proposal me	et the adequacy criteria? Yes	
f No, comment :	The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy th	
	adequacy criteria by:	
	1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;	
	2. Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the	
	outcomes;	
	3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal;	
	4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and	
	5. Providing a project time line.	
	Council is seeking an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations. As the	
	Planning Proposal deals with matters of only local significance, it is considered	
	appropriate that an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations be issued to	
	Council, if the Planning Proposal is supported.	
	Council has provided a project time line which estimates that the LEP will be ready for	
	notification in July 2016. This appears to be an extended timeframe for the nature of this	
	proposal but Council wish to ensure that remediation works on the site are completed	
	prior to the finalisation of the LEP Amendment.	
	The proposed 12 month timeframe is supported.	
oosal Assessmen	t .	
incipal LEP:		
Due Date :		
	The Olympic Velley LEB compared in December 2044. This planning proposed cooks on	
Comments in relation to Principal	The Clarence Valley LEP commenced in December 2011. This planning proposal seeks an	
LEP :	amendment to the Clarence Valley LEP 2011.	
ssessment Criter		
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report.	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former commercial building on the site be converted into 4 separate units and duplex	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former commercial building on the site be converted into 4 separate units and duplex developments be built on the other two lots. This would create 8 dwellings on the overall	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former commercial building on the site be converted into 4 separate units and duplex developments be built on the other two lots. This would create 8 dwellings on the overall site. However, there is no assurance that this will be the final nature of the development on	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former commercial building on the site be converted into 4 separate units and duplex developments be built on the other two lots. This would create 8 dwellings on the overall	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former commercial building on the site be converted into 4 separate units and duplex developments be built on the other two lots. This would create 8 dwellings on the overall site. However, there is no assurance that this will be the final nature of the development on the site and an alternate development pattern may occur.	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former commercial building on the site be converted into 4 separate units and duplex developments be built on the other two lots. This would create 8 dwellings on the overall site. However, there is no assurance that this will be the final nature of the development on the site and an alternate development pattern may occur. The original 3,672m2 site was zoned Residential 2(a) under the provisions of the former	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former commercial building on the site be converted into 4 separate units and duplex developments be built on the other two lots. This would create 8 dwellings on the overall site. However, there is no assurance that this will be the final nature of the development on the site and an alternate development pattern may occur. The original 3,672m2 site was zoned Residential 2(a) under the provisions of the former Grafton Planning Scheme Ordinance. It is understood that in May 1986 Council approved	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former commercial building on the site be converted into 4 separate units and duplex developments be built on the other two lots. This would create 8 dwellings on the overall site. However, there is no assurance that this will be the final nature of the development on the site and an alternate development pattern may occur. The original 3,672m2 site was zoned Residential 2(a) under the provisions of the former Grafton Planning Scheme Ordinance. It is understood that in May 1986 Council approved the subdivision of the site into three lots. However the three lots were rezoned in early	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former commercial building on the site be converted into 4 separate units and duplex developments be built on the other two lots. This would create 8 dwellings on the overall site. However, there is no assurance that this will be the final nature of the development on the site and an alternate development pattern may occur. The original 3,672m2 site was zoned Residential 2(a) under the provisions of the former Grafton Planning Scheme Ordinance. It is understood that in May 1986 Council approved the subdivision of the site into three lots. However the three lots were rezoned in early 1987 to 3(b) Special Development to facilitate the development of the site for a	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former commercial building on the site be converted into 4 separate units and duplex developments be built on the other two lots. This would create 8 dwellings on the overall site. However, there is no assurance that this will be the final nature of the development on the site and an alternate development pattern may occur. The original 3,672m2 site was zoned Residential 2(a) under the provisions of the former Grafton Planning Scheme Ordinance. It is understood that in May 1986 Council approved the subdivision of the site into three lots. However the three lots were rezoned in early 1987 to 3(b) Special Development to facilitate the development of the site for a retail plant nursery. The site was zoned B1 Neighbourhood Centre under the Clarence	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former commercial building on the site be converted into 4 separate units and duplex developments be built on the other two lots. This would create 8 dwellings on the overall site. However, there is no assurance that this will be the final nature of the development on the site and an alternate development pattern may occur. The original 3,672m2 site was zoned Residential 2(a) under the provisions of the former Grafton Planning Scheme Ordinance. It is understood that in May 1986 Council approved the subdivision of the site into three lots. However the three lots were rezoned in early 1987 to 3(b) Special Development to facilitate the development of the site for a	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former commercial building on the site be converted into 4 separate units and duplex developments be built on the other two lots. This would create 8 dwellings on the overall site. However, there is no assurance that this will be the final nature of the development on the site and an alternate development pattern may occur. The original 3,672m2 site was zoned Residential 2(a) under the provisions of the former Grafton Planning Scheme Ordinance. It is understood that in May 1986 Council approved the subdivision of the site into three lots. However the three lots were rezoned in early 1987 to 3(b) Special Development to facilitate the development of the site for a retail plant nursery. The site was zoned B1 Neighbourhood Centre under the Clarence Valley LEP 2011 when it commenced in December 2011. The plant nursery has since closed down.	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former commercial building on the site be converted into 4 separate units and duplex developments be built on the other two lots. This would create 8 dwellings on the overall site. However, there is no assurance that this will be the final nature of the development on the site and an alternate development pattern may occur. The original 3,672m2 site was zoned Residential 2(a) under the provisions of the former Grafton Planning Scheme Ordinance. It is understood that in May 1986 Council approved the subdivision of the site into three lots. However the three lots were rezoned in early 1987 to 3(b) Special Development to facilitate the development of the site for a retail plant nursery. The site was zoned B1 Neighbourhood Centre under the Clarence Valley LEP 2011 when it commenced in December 2011. The plant nursery has since closed down. The Planning Proposal only relates to the rezoning of the land. Other development	
LEP : Assessment Criter Need for planning proposal :	 This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former commercial building on the site be converted into 4 separate units and duplex developments be built on the other two lots. This would create 8 dwellings on the overall site. However, there is no assurance that this will be the final nature of the development on the site and an alternate development pattern may occur. The original 3,672m2 site was zoned Residential 2(a) under the provisions of the former Grafton Planning Scheme Ordinance. It is understood that in May 1986 Council approved the subdivision of the site into three lots. However the three lots were rezoned in early 1987 to 3(b) Special Development to facilitate the development of the site for a retail plant nursery. The site was zoned B1 Neighbourhood Centre under the Clarence Valley LEP 2011 when it commenced in December 2011. The plant nursery has since closed down. The Planning Proposal only relates to the rezoning of the land. Other development controls on the site, including the minimum lot size and height of buildings are not 	
ssessment Criter	ia This Planning Proposal is not the result of a specific study or report. It will result in Lots 2981, 2982 and 2983 DP733046 to be rezoned from B1 Neighbourhood Centre to R1 General Residential. It is currently proposed that the existing former commercial building on the site be converted into 4 separate units and duplex developments be built on the other two lots. This would create 8 dwellings on the overall site. However, there is no assurance that this will be the final nature of the development on the site and an alternate development pattern may occur. The original 3,672m2 site was zoned Residential 2(a) under the provisions of the former Grafton Planning Scheme Ordinance. It is understood that in May 1986 Council approved the subdivision of the site into three lots. However the three lots were rezoned in early 1987 to 3(b) Special Development to facilitate the development of the site for a retail plant nursery. The site was zoned B1 Neighbourhood Centre under the Clarence Valley LEP 2011 when it commenced in December 2011. The plant nursery has since closed down. The Planning Proposal only relates to the rezoning of the land. Other development	

Consistency with Mid North Coast Regional Strategy strategic planning This Planning Proposal is consistent with the Mid North Coast Regional Strategy as it framework : provides additional land for residential development within a designated urban growth агеа. **Local Council Strategies** This Planning Proposal is consistent with the Clarence Valley Settlement Strategy that indicates that future residential development in the South Grafton area will comprise urban infill and small peripheral extensions. State Environment Planning Policies The Planning Proposal is consistent with all applicable State Environmental Planning Policies, including SEPP 55 Remediation of Land. Section 117 Directions The following S117 directions are applicable to the proposal, 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones, 3.1 Residential Zones, 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport, 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils, 4.3 Flood Prone Land, and 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies. Of the above s117 Directions the proposal is consistent with all Directions except for 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones, 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils and 4.3 Flood Prone Land. 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones This Direction applies as the proposal does not retain the areas and locations of an existing business zone. This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance due to the small area of land involved, the location of the site being remote from other business zones and the previous zoning of the land for residential purposes. 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils This Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal will affect land having a probability of containing acid sulfate soils as shown on the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Maps (Class 5). The inconsistency with this Direction is considered to be of minor significance due to the size of the land parcel involved, the minor nature of any potential excavation of the site and as this issue can be adequately addressed at the development application stage through existing planning controls in the LEP. 4.3 Flood Prone Land This Direction is relevant as the Planning Proposal alters a zone or a provision that affects flood prone land. Although the site has opportunity for flood free development, part of the land is subject to flooding. The Planning Proposal is inconsistent with this direction as it does not include provisions that give effect to and are consistent with the NSW Flood Prone Land Policy and the principles of the Floodplain Development Manual 2005. This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance due to the small area of land involved, provisions are already included in the Clarence Valley LEP 2011 and the proposal is consistent with the Grafton and Lower Clarence River Floodplain Risk Management Plan. The Grafton and Lower Clarence River Floodplain Risk Management Plan makes broad strategic comment on how Council should address new development on a valley-wide basis. It states that "...Minimal infill development should be allowed within the floodplain, including areas surrounded by flood levees as overtopping and failure can occur". While the plan indicates that infill development can be allowed in areas protected by a levee, the development should be "minimal". It is considered that the redevelopment of this site is minimal infill development. It is also considered that the Office of Environment and Heritage should be consulted on this Planning Proposal because of the flood prone nature of the land. Environmental social The rezoning of the subject land is likely to have minimal economic or social impacts on economic impacts : the community of South Grafton.

		e zoning and the intended con on threatened species, populat oment of the site.		
	use as a plant nursery. A cor identifies the site can be mad works are undertaken. Count resolved to advise the propor section 59(1) of the Act, Cour	has having minor levels of con- ntamination assessment (attac le suitable for redevelopment f cil, as part of their consideration nent that prior to Council finalion neil will require the actions rec obe implemented and validated	hed to the Plann or residential us on of the Plannin sing the LEP am ommended by th	ing Proposal) e if remediation g Proposal endment under e
ssessment Proces	SS			
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Office of Environment and He	eritage		
Is Public Hearing by th				
(2)(a) Should the matte				
Resubmission - s56(2))(b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional	studies, if required.			
If Other, provide reaso	ons :			
	onsultations, if required :			
No internal consultati	ion required			
Is the provision and fu If Yes, reasons :	nding of state infrastructure releva	int to this plan? No		
uments		and a state of the	ang ani Nga mat	212
Document File Name		DocumentType N	ame	Is Public
-	over letter.pdf Proposal Cambridge Street.pdf neeting 21 July 2015 Cambridge	Proposal Coverir Proposal Proposal	ng Letter	Yes Yes Yes

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions		
S.117 directions:	 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 	
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies	
Additional Information	It is recommended that:	
	 The Planning Proposal be supported; The Planning Proposal be exhibited for 14 days; The Planning Proposal be completed within 12 months; Consultation with Office of Environment and Heritage be undertaken; Maps which comply with the Standard Technical Requirements for LEP Maps will be required prior to the LEP amendment being finalised. That an authorisation to exercise delegation be issued to Council; That the Secretary's delegate determine that the inconsistencies with s117 Direction 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones, 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land is justified as the matter is of minor significance. 	
Supporting Reasons :	This Planning Proposal will facilitate the redevelopment of an abandoned plant nursery for residential purposes. It will also facilitate the efficient use of Council's existing utility services infrastructure. No significant adverse environmental, economic or social impact is considered likely due to the rezoning.	
Signature:	Jel Im current (X - 1 - DOLG	
 Printed Name:	JIM CLARK Date: <u>6 August 2015</u> Teambader Local Planny	
	Team loader Local Manny	

